



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,802	10/17/2000	Petteri Putkiranta	P3439US00	1591

30671 7590 11/30/2009  
DITTHAVONG MORI & STEINER, P.C.  
918 Prince Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER
----------

HO, HUY C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

11/30/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@dcpatent.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/646,802	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PUTKIRANTA, PETTERI	
	<b>Examiner</b> HUY C. HO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 August 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/05/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because reference Takeshi teaches and suggests a moving terminal transmits its present location information to an information server to notify the server about its location (see Takeshi, pp [9]-[10]), thus there is no interaction between the user and the moving device, therefore, the argued features in the Remarks for the new added limitation "indication message is generated without action by a user of the mobile station" were written such that they read upon reference Takeshi.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1-5 and 7-12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Buhrmann et al. (5,950,125)** and further in view of **Takeshi et al. (JP 09-130861)**.

**Consider claim 1**, (Currently Amended) Buhrmann discloses a communications system comprising:

a service server which is configured to maintain information concerning location of mobile stations in localized service areas and to generate requests for changing a service selection offered to mobile stations in response to receiving, from the mobile stations, mobile station generated messages

Art Unit: 2617

describing the location of the mobile stations in relation to localized service areas (see Buhrmann, the abstract, col 1 lines 45-60, col 2 lines 1-67, col 7 lines 5-15, location-dependent cellular service profile system providing database for storing services profiles and providing services to users in different user zones);

means for changing the service selection offered to a mobile station by the communications system in response to an indication of the arrival of the mobile station in said localized service area, which indication is a message generated by said mobile station (Buhrmann, col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25, modifying services for mobile stations in user zones).

Buhrmann does not show a message is generated by a mobile device separated from obligatory location updates when roaming in a cellular network, and generated without action by a user of the mobile station, however, Buhrmann teaches the mobile device recognizes a local service area, i.e., the user zone, when it comes to the area (see Buhrmann, col 3 lines 13-16, col 16 lines 6-11, 23-28). Takeshi teaches service information providing system where disclosing a mobile terminal notifies of its location to an information server so the server provides services to the mobile terminal accordingly (see Takeshi, paragraph [15], [19]) and Takeshi teaches and suggests a moving terminal transmits its present location information to an information server to notify the server about its location (see Takeshi, pp [9]-[10]), therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify teachings of Buhrmann by combining teachings of Takeshi that a mobile terminal by itself notifies its location to an information server so the server provides services to the mobile terminal accordingly, so as to have the mobile terminal initially sends its current location information to a service provider server for providing desired services to it accordingly.

**Consider claim 5, (Currently Amended)** Buhrmann discloses an apparatus comprising a processor and memory including computer program code, the memory and the computer program code configured to, the processor, cause the apparatus to:

store information required for recognizing a localized service area on which localized services are controlled by a services server (col 3 lines 10-17, col 16 lines 5-27, disclosing a mobile device

Art Unit: 2617

receives a location identifier when it is in a new user zone on its display);

send a notification of the apparatus in the localized service area to the services server in response to the recognition of the localized service area, said notification providing an impulse for changing a service selection offered to the apparatus (Buhrmann, col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25).

Buhrmann does not show a message is generated by a mobile device separated from obligatory location updates when roaming in a cellular network, and generated without action by a user of the mobile station, however, Buhrmann teaches the mobile device recognizes a local service area, i.e., the user zone, when it comes to the area (see Buhrmann, col 3 lines 13-16, col 16 lines 6-11, 23-28).

Takeshi teaches service information providing system where disclosing a mobile terminal notifies of its location to an information server so the server provides services to the mobile terminal accordingly (see Takeshi, paragraph [15], [19]) and Takeshi teaches and suggests a moving terminal transmits its present location information to an information server to notify the server about its location (see Takeshi, pp [9]-[10]), therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify teachings of Buhrmann by combining teachings of Takeshi that a mobile terminal by itself notifies its location to an information server so the server provides services to the mobile terminal accordingly, so as to have the mobile terminal initially sends its current location information to a service provider server for providing desired services to it accordingly.

**Consider claim 7, (Currently Amended)** Buhrmann discloses a method comprising:

receiving from a mobile station a message that is indicating that the mobile station has detected that it is in the localized service area (see Buhrmann the abstract, col 1 lines 45-60, col 2 lines 1-67, col 7 lines 5-15, location-dependent cellular service profile system providing database for storing services profiles and providing services to users in different user zones);

generating information about the arrival of the mobile station in the localized service area (Buhrmann, col 1 lines 64-67, col 2 lines 1-67); and

changing a service selection offered to said mobile station by a communications system

Art Unit: 2617

including a base station providing the localized service area (Buhrmann, col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25).

Buhrmann does not show a message is generated by a mobile device separated from obligatory location updates when roaming in a cellular network, and generated without action by a user of the mobile station, however, Buhrmann teaches the mobile device recognizes a local service area, i.e., the user zone, when it comes to the area (see Buhrmann, col 3 lines 13-16, col 16 lines 6-11, 23-28). Takeshi teaches service information providing system where disclosing a mobile terminal notifies of its location to an information server so the server provides services to the mobile terminal accordingly (see Takeshi, paragraph [15], [19]) and Takeshi teaches and suggests a moving terminal transmits its present location information to an information server to notify the server about its location (see Takeshi, pp [9]-[10]), therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify teachings of Buhrmann by combining teachings of Takeshi that a mobile terminal by itself notifies its location to an information server so the server provides services to the mobile terminal accordingly, so as to have the mobile terminal initially sends its current location information to a service provider server for providing desired services to it accordingly.

**Consider claim 2,** (Previously Presented) Buhrmann, as modified by Takeshi, further discloses the communications system of claim 1, comprising:

an application server to provide mobile stations with different services in response to a request generated by the service server for changing the service selection (col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25).

**Consider claim 3,** (Previously Presented) Buhrmann, as modified by Takeshi, further discloses the communications system of claim 2, wherein said service server is the same as said application server (col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25).

**Consider claim 4,** (Currently Amended) Buhrmann, as modified by Takeshi, further discloses the communications system of claim 1, wherein the means for changing the service selection is configured to change a localized service selection offered to a mobile station in response to a notification sent by the mobile station on its arrival in a localized service area (Buhrmann, col 2 lines

Art Unit: 2617

**1-67, col 3 lines 10-25).**

**Consider claim 8,** (Previously Presented) Buhrmann, as modified by Takeshi, further discloses The method of claim 7, wherein in response to the information about the arrival of a mobile station in a localized service area a predetermined additional service is offered to the mobile station (**col 2 lines 25-55, col 7 lines 15-67).**

**Consider claim 9,** (Previously Presented) Buhrmann, as modified by Takeshi, further discloses The method of claim 8, wherein said additional service involves the sending of announcements to the mobile station (**col 2 lines 25-55, col 7 lines 15-67).**

**Consider claim 10,** (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, Buhrmann, as modified by Takeshi, further discloses wherein in response to the information about the arrival of a mobile station in a localized service area the quantity of services offered to the mobile station by the communications system is reduced (**col 9 lines 1-62).**

**Consider claim 11,** (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, Buhrmann, as modified by Takeshi, further discloses:

communicating a message indicating the arrival of a mobile station in a localized service area to a service server (**col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25);**

checking what services should be offered to the mobile station in that localized service area (**col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25);**

communicating a request for the services to be offered to an application server providing the services (**col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25);** and

providing, by the application server, a service to the mobile station (**col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25).**

**Consider claim 12,** (Previously Presented) Buhrmann, as modified by Hose, further discloses the method of claim 11, wherein:

communicating a request to an application server comprises:

communicating the request for the services to be offered to at least two application servers providing services (**col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25),** and

Art Unit: 2617

providing, by the application server, a service to the mobile station comprises:

providing, by each application server to which the request for the services to be offered was made, a service to the mobile station (col 2 lines 1-67, col 3 lines 10-25).

4. **Claim 6** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Buhrmann et al. (5,950,125)** in view of **Takeshi et al. (JP 09-130861)** and further in view of **Alperovich et al. (5,819,180)**.

**Consider claim 6**, (Currently Amended) Buhrmann, as modified by Takeshi, does not show the apparatus of claim 5, wherein said memory is located in a removable memory unit. Alperovich teaches telecommunications network based upon mobile subscriber's location and discloses SIM card is used as a detachable memory for storing necessary subscriber information (see Alperovich, col 1 lines 15-35, col 3 lines 5-40), thus Alperovich discloses a removable memory unit, and therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify teachings of Buhrmann, as modified by Takeshi, by combining teachings of Alperovich of a mobile device uses a SIM card as a removable memory unit for storing necessary information so make the mobile device replaceable when needed with the necessary information is still preserved and protected in the removable SIM card.

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing



Art Unit: 2617

date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY C. HO whose telephone number is (571)270-1108. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Huy C Ho/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Patrick N. Edouard/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617